

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,592		07/24/2003	Chin-Pei Hwang	2450-0504P	7927	
2292	7590	10/04/2005		EXAMINER		
		T KOLASCH & I	WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2879		
				DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AA					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/625,592	HWANG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Joseph L. Williams	2879					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. G from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ju	uly 2003.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 13-18 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>13-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	·					
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	۲.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached C	ffice Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document							
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	<u>*</u>	ceived in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	reived					
occ the attached detailed office dotton for a list	or the defined dopies not re-	·					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	lail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fujita et al. (US 5,962,962).

Regarding claim 13, Fujita ('962) teaches in Figure 1 a method for packaging organic electroluminescent (EL) components with polymer passivation layer, comprising plating at least a wet-adsorption passivation layer on a flexible substrate made in glass, metal, or plastics; forming an organic EL component on the substrate, wherein at least a sub-layer of the passivation layer is a polymer layer; and the passivation layer is applied to cover the organic EL component; and sealing the surface of the entire passivation layer by overlaying a package material thereon.

Fujita ('962) does not disclose a plurality of EL organic pixels.

However, it is well known in the art that multiple pixels can be used in an organic display for the purpose of emitting a brighter light. It is also within the knowledge of one of ordinary skill in the art to increase or decrease the number of pixels as a matter of obvious choice in design.

Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use multiple pixels with the method of Fujita to make an EL display for the purpose of increasing the brightness of the display.

Regarding claim 14, Fujita ('962) teaches the wet-adsorption passivation layer is composed of: a polymer material which is a solvent or nonsolvent, thermo-curing or UV-curing, organic or inorganic material, and a filler agent, which is a wet-adsorption material or an inorganic material.

Regarding claim 15, Fujita ('962) teaches the wet-adsorption passivation layer is formed by spraying, screen-printing, dispensing, or spin coating to produce a plurality of mask patterns for overlaying the EL components.

Regarding claim 16, Fujita ('962) teaches the amount of mask pattern is equal to that of the EL component's domains; and each mask pattern is slightly larger than a paired off domain in area occupied at a correspondent position.

Regarding claim 17, the thickness of the polymer passivation layer is an obvious choice in design.

The reason for combining is the same as for claim 13 above.

Art Unit: 2879

Regarding claim 18, Fujita ('962) teaches the package material is a UV curing material.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-2465. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph L. Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879